# Complete Summary

#### TITLE

Dehydration: hospital admission rate.

## SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 3.0a]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 58 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203).

#### Measure Domain

#### PRIMARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Population Health

The validity of measures depends on how they are built. By examining the key building blocks of a measure, you can assess its validity for your purpose. For more information, visit the Measure Validity page.

#### SECONDARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Access

#### **Brief Abstract**

## **DESCRIPTION**

This measure is used to assess the number of admissions for dehydration per 100,000 population.

As a Prevention Quality Indicator (PQI), dehydration is not a measure of hospital quality, but rather one of the measures of outpatient and other health care.

This indicator has unclear construct validity, because it has not been validated except as part of a set of indicators. Providers may reduce admission rates without actually improving quality by shifting care to an outpatient setting. Some dehydration care takes place in emergency rooms. As such, combining inpatient and emergency room data may give a more accurate picture of this indicator.

#### **RATIONALE**

Prevention is an important role for all health care providers. Providers can help individuals stay healthy by preventing disease, and they can prevent complications of existing disease by helping patients live with their illnesses. To fulfill this role, however, providers need data on the impact of their services and the opportunity to compare these data over time or across communities. Local, State, and Federal policymakers also need these tools and data to identify potential access or quality-of-care problems related to prevention, to plan specific interventions, and to evaluate how well these interventions meet the goals of preventing illness and disability.

While these indicators use hospital inpatient data, their focus is an outpatient health care. Except in the case of patients who are readmitted soon after discharge from a hospital, the quality of inpatient care is unlikely to be a significant determinant of admission rates for ambulatory care sensitive conditions. Rather, the Prevention Quality Indicators (PQIs) assess the quality of the health care system as a whole, and especially the quality of ambulatory care, in preventing medical complications. As a result, these measures are likely to be of the greatest value when calculated at the population level and when used by public health groups, State data organizations, and other organizations concerned with the health of populations.

These indicators serve as a screening tool rather than as definitive measures of quality problems. They can provide initial information about potential problems in the community that may require further, more in-depth analysis.

Dehydration is a serious acute condition that occurs in frail patients and patients with other underlying illnesses following insufficient attention and support for fluid intake. Dehydration can for the most part be treated in an outpatient setting, but it is potentially fatal for elderly, very young children, frail patients, or patients with serious comorbid conditions.

Proper outpatient treatment may reduce admissions for dehydration.

PRIMARY CLINICAL COMPONENT

Dehydration; hospital admission rates

DENOMINATOR DESCRIPTION

Population in Metro Area or county

## NUMERATOR DESCRIPTION

Discharges, age 18 years and older, with International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) principal diagnosis code for hypovolemia (276.5). Patients transferring from another institution, or Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium) are excluded.

## **Evidence Supporting the Measure**

# EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE VALUE OF MONITORING THE ASPECT OF POPULATION HEALTH

 One or more research studies published in a National Library of Medicine (NLM) indexed, peer-reviewed journal

## Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure

#### NEED FOR THE MEASURE

Monitoring health state(s) Variation in health state(s)

#### EVIDENCE SUPPORTING NEED FOR THE MEASURE

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 3.0a]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 58 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203).

#### State of Use of the Measure

## STATE OF USE

Current routine use

#### **CURRENT USE**

Monitoring health state(s)

#### Application of Measure in its Current Use

# CARE SETTING

Ambulatory Care Community Health Care

## PROFESSIONALS RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH CARE

Advanced Practice Nurses Physician Assistants Physicians Public Health Professionals

#### LOWEST LEVEL OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY ADDRESSED

Counties or Cities

#### TARGET POPULATION AGE

Age greater than or equal to 18 years

#### TARGET POPULATION GENDER

Either male or female

#### STRATIFICATION BY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

## Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component

#### INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE

Unspecified

#### ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

- Billings et al. found that low-income zip codes in New York City had 2 times more dehydration hospitalizations per capita than high-income zip codes. Household income explained 42% of this variation.
- Millman et al. reported that low-income zip codes had 2 times more dehydration hospitalizations per capita than high-income zip codes.

#### EVIDENCE FOR ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Billings J, Zeital L, Lukomnik J, et al. Analysis of variation in hospital admission rates associated with area income in New York City [unpublished].

Millman M, editor(s). Access to health care in America. Committee on Monitoring Access to Personal Health Care Services. Washington (DC): National Academy Press; 1993. 240 p.

## **BURDEN OF ILLNESS**

Dehydration is a potentially fatal condition, and appropriate attention to fluid status can prevent the condition. If left untreated in older adults, serious complications, including death (over 50%), can result.

## EVIDENCE FOR BURDEN OF ILLNESS

Weinberg AD, Minaker KL. Dehydration. Evaluation and management in older adults. Council on Scientific Affairs, American Medical Association. JAMA1995 Nov 15;274(19):1552-6. PubMed

#### **UTILIZATION**

Unspecified

COSTS

Unspecified

## Institute of Medicine National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

#### **IOM CARE NEED**

**Getting Better** 

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness Timeliness

#### Data Collection for the Measure

#### CASE FINDING

Both users and nonusers of care

DESCRIPTION OF CASE FINDING

Population in Metro Area or county

DENOMINATOR SAMPLING FRAME

Geographically defined

## DENOMINATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

Inclusions

Population in Metro Area or county

Exclusions

Unspecified

# RELATIONSHIP OF DENOMINATOR TO NUMERATOR

All cases in the denominator are not equally eligible to appear in the numerator

# DENOMINATOR (INDEX) EVENT

Patient Characteristic

#### DENOMINATOR TIME WINDOW

Time window is a single point in time

## NUMERATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

Inclusions

Discharges, age 18 years and older, with International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) principal diagnosis code for hypovolemia (276.5)

#### Exclusions

Patients transferring from another institution, or Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium) are excluded.

MEASURE RESULTS UNDER CONTROL OF HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS, ORGANIZATIONS AND/OR POLICYMAKERS

The measure results are somewhat or substantially under the control of the health care professionals, organizations and/or policymakers to whom the measure applies.

NUMERATOR TIME WINDOW

Institutionalization

**DATA SOURCE** 

Administrative data

LEVEL OF DETERMINATION OF QUALITY

Does not apply to this measure

TYPE OF HEALTH STATE

Adverse Health State

PRE-EXISTING INSTRUMENT USED

Unspecified

#### Computation of the Measure

**SCORING** 

Rate

INTERPRETATION OF SCORE

A lower score is desirable

#### ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Analysis by subgroup (stratification on patient factors, geographic factors, etc.) Risk adjustment method widely or commercially available

#### DESCRIPTION OF ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Observed (raw) rates may be stratified by areas (Metro Areas or counties), age groups, race/ethnicity categories, and sex.

Risk adjustment of the data is recommended using age and sex.

Application of multivariate signal extraction (MSX) to smooth risk adjusted rates is also recommended.

#### STANDARD OF COMPARISON

External comparison at a point in time External comparison of time trends Internal time comparison

## **Evaluation of Measure Properties**

#### EXTENT OF MEASURE TESTING

Each potential quality indicator was evaluated against the following six criteria, which were considered essential for determining the reliability and validity of a quality indicator: face validity, precision, minimum bias, construct validity, fosters real quality improvement, and application. The project team searched Medline for articles relating to each of these six areas of evaluation. Additionally, extensive empirical testing of all potential indicators was conducted using the 1995-97 Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) State Inpatient Databases (SID) and Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) to determine precision, bias, and construct validity. Table 1 in the original measure documentation summarizes the results of the literature review and empirical evaluations on the Prevention Quality Indicators (PQI). Refer to the original measure documentation for details.

#### EVIDENCE FOR RELIABILITY/VALIDITY TESTING

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 3.0a]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 58 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203).

#### Identifying Informatior

ORIGINAL TITLE

Dehydration admission rate (PQI 10).

## MEASURE COLLECTION

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Quality Indicators

#### MEASURE SET NAME

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Prevention Quality Indicators

#### **DEVELOPER**

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

#### **ADAPTATION**

This indicator was originally developed by Billings and colleagues in conjunction with the United Hospital Fund of New York.

PARENT MEASURE

Unspecified

RELEASE DATE

2001 Oct

REVISION DATE

2006 Feb

#### **MEASURE STATUS**

This is the current release of the measure.

This measure updates a previous version: AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 2.1, revision 4]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 Nov 24. 115 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203).

## SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 3.0a]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 58 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203).

## MEASURE AVAILABILITY

The individual measure, "Dehydration Admission Rate (PQI 10)," is published in "AHRQ Quality Indicators. Guide to Prevention Quality Indicators: Hospital Admission for Ambulatory Sensitive Conditions." This document is available in <a href="Portable Document Format (PDF">Portable Document Format (PDF)</a> from the <a href="Prevention Quality Indicators Download">Prevention Quality Indicators Download</a> page at the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Quality Indicators Web site.

For more information, please contact the QI Support Team at <a href="mailto:support@qualityindicators.ahrq.gov">support@qualityindicators.ahrq.gov</a>.

#### COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following are available:

- AHRQ quality indicators. Prevention quality indicators: technical specifications [version 3.0b]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 May 1. 20 p. (AHRQ Pub; no 02-R0202). This document is available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the <u>Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Quality Indicators Web site</u>.
- AHRQ quality indicators. Prevention quality indicators: software documentation [version 3a] - SAS. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 35 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0202). This document is available in PDF from the <u>AHRQ Quality Indicators</u> Web site.
- AHRQ quality indicators. Prevention quality indicators: software documentation [version 3a] - SPSS. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 32 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0207). This document is available in PDF from the <u>AHRQ Quality Indicators</u> Web site.
- AHRQ quality indicators. Software documentation: Windows [version 3.0].
   Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006
   Feb 20. 72 p. This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- Prevention quality indicators (PQI): covariates, version 3.0a. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 10 p. This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- Remus D, Fraser I. Guidance for using the AHRQ quality indicators for hospital-level public reporting or payment. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2004 Aug. 24 p. This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- UCSF-Stanford Evidence-based Practice Center. Davies GM, Geppert J, McClellan M, et al. Refinement of the HCUP quality indicators. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2001 May. (Technical review; no. 4). This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- HCUPnet, Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project. [internet]. Rockville (MD):
  Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 [Various pagings].
  HCUPnet is available from the <u>AHRQ Web site</u>.

NQMC STATUS

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI on December 19, 2002. The information was verified by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality on January 9, 2003. This NQMC summary was updated by ECRI on April 6, 2004, February 18, 2005, and again on February 27, 2006. The information was verified by the measure developer on July 31, 2006.

#### COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

No copyright restrictions apply.

© 2006 National Quality Measures Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 9/25/2006

# FIRSTGOV

